

Determinants

To compute Jordan forms of a given map T , we need to know which scalars c have the property that $T-c$ has a non trivial kernel. It is useful to have a formula in terms of entries of a matrix to determine if the matrix is invertible. One approach is to compute the oriented “volume” of the block spanned by the columns. I.e. this n - dimensional volume would be zero if and only if the columns are dependent. E.g. in a 2 by 2 matrix, the columns are dependent if and only if the parallelogram they span lies in a line, hence has zero area.

This suggests some properties such a formula should have. Since the volume of a block scales by c when we multiply one of the vectors spanning it by c , our volume function $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ should satisfy: $\partial(x_1, \dots, cx_i, \dots, x_n) = c \partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$. And when we stack two blocks on top of one another by adding two vectors in one entry, the volumes should add. So we should have $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i + y_i, \dots, x_n) = \partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) + \partial(x_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, x_n)$. Moreover, when two entries are equal, the vectors spanning the block are dependent, and the block lies in a lower dimensional space, so we should get zero: $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) = 0$. Finally the volume of the unit block spanned by the standard unit vectors should be 1.

So we want a function of n vector variables $\partial: (k^n)^n \rightarrow k$, that has these properties:

- i) “alternating”: ∂ equals zero when two entries are equal,
- ii) “multilinear”: ∂ is linear in one variable at a time, and
- iii) “normalized”: on the block spanned by the standard unit vectors, ∂ has value 1.

Definition: An n dimensional “determinant” is a function ∂ on n by n matrices such that $\partial(\text{Id}) = 1$, and ∂ is alternating, and multilinear as a function say of the columns.

We will show determinant functions exist and are unique, and describe their properties.

Lemma: A determinant function is skew symmetric, i.e. ∂ changes sign when any two entries are exchanged: $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = -\partial(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$.

proof: Since ∂ is alternating, $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i + x_j, \dots, x_i + x_j, \dots, x_n) = 0$, and since it is multilinear, this equals $0 = \partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) + \partial(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) + \partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) + \partial(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$. Since the first two entries are zero, we have our result. **QED.**

Remark: Conversely, skew- symmetric functions are almost alternating, since if $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = -\partial(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$, then $2\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = 0$. This implies $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = 0$, except in characteristic 2. But over the real field e.g., there is no difference in the two properties, at least for multilinear functions.

We will appeal to a few facts about permutations which we recall. In particular, a permutation of the integers $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is a bijective function $s: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Cor: Permuting the entries of ∂ by a permutation multiplies the value of ∂ by the sign of the permutation.

proof: Recall that the sign of a permutation which exchanges two entries is -1 , and the sign of a composition of permutations is the product of their signs. One proof of this is to consider the action of the group of permutations on the ring of polynomials in n variables, $Z[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ by permuting the variables. This action takes the polynomial $\prod_{i>j} (X_i - X_j)$

into itself or minus itself, and we define the sign of the permutation accordingly. The permutation that exchanges X_1 and X_2 , changes the sign of this polynomial since only the sign of $(X_2 - X_1)$ is changed. Similarly every exchange of two adjacent variables changes the sign, and it can be deduced that every exchange of any two variables changes the sign. Since every permutation is a composition of such exchanges, the sign of every composition of permutations is the product of their signs. **QED.**

Uniqueness of determinants

Since a determinant ∂ is multilinear, we regard it as a kind of multiplication. Writing $x_j = a_{1j} e_1 + \dots + a_{nj} e_n$, in terms of the standard basis, and using multilinearity to expand our product $\partial(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, gives n^n terms. I.e. $\partial(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum$ over all functions $f: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ of $\partial(a(f(1), 1) e(f(1)), \dots, a(f(n), n) e(f(n))) = \sum$ over all f of $\prod_j a(f(j), j) \partial(e(f(1)), \dots, e(f(n)))$. Since $\partial = 0$ on any sequence with repeated entries, this is really a sum over all bijective functions f , i.e. all permutations $s: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$.

But since interchanging two entries multiplies the value of ∂ by -1 , changing the entries by a permutation s , multiplies the value by $\text{sgn}(s) = \text{sign of the permutation } s$. So $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum$ over $s: \text{sgn}(s) \prod_j a(s(j), j) \partial(e(1), \dots, e(n))$. Thus the value of a multilinear alternating ∂ is completely determined on every sequence (x_1, \dots, x_n) , by the value of $\partial(e(1), \dots, e(n))$. And for the standard determinant we decreed this value is one. Thus there is at most one way to define a determinant satisfying our three properties, namely by the formula: $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum$ over all permutations $s: \text{sgn}(s) \prod_j a(s(j), j)$.

Define: $\partial: \text{Mat } n \times n(k) \rightarrow k$, by $\partial([a_{ij}]) = \sum$ over $s: \text{sgn}(s) \prod_j a(s(j), j)$, the only possible multilinear alternating function in the columns of each matrix with $\partial(\text{Id}) = 1$.

The next property is fundamental.

Lemma: $\partial(AB) = \partial(A)\partial(B)$, for two $n \times n$ matrices A, B .

Proof: Since BA is a linear function of the columns of A , and ∂ is alternating and multilinear in those columns, the composite function $d(A) = \partial(BA)$ is also multilinear and alternating in the columns of A , hence by our uniqueness argument, it is a constant multiple of $\partial(A)$, and the multiplier is $d(\text{Id}) = \partial(B)$. Hence $\partial(BA) = \partial(B)\partial(A)$. **QED.**

Cor: If A is invertible, then $\det(A) \neq 0$.

proof: If $AB = \text{Id}$, then $\det(A)\det(B) = \det(\text{Id}) = 1$. **QED.**

Existence of the determinant function.

We must show the function defined by the formula above does have our three properties. If $[a_{ij}] = \text{Id}$, all but one term in $\partial[\text{Id}]$ is 0, and that one term = 1, so normalization is satisfied. It remains to show this definition of ∂ is indeed multilinear and alternating.

Scaling is the easiest.

Lemma: $\partial(x_1, \dots, cx_i, \dots, x_n) = c \partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$, for all c in k , all x_1, \dots, x_n in k^n .

proof: The definition of ∂ is an alternating sum of terms $\prod_j a(s(j), j)$, and in each of these terms there is one factor $a(s(i), i)$. In the definition of $\partial(x_1, \dots, cx_i, \dots, x_n)$, this one factor $a(s(i), i)$ is replaced by $c(a(s(i), i))$. Thus the whole sum is multiplied by c . **QED.**

Additivity is easy as well.

Lemma: $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i + y_i, \dots, x_n) = \partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) + \partial(x_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, x_n)$.

proof: For simplicity we assume $i = 1$. Again each term of the sum defining ∂ , is a product $(a(s(1),1) + b(s(1),1)) \prod_{j>1} a(s(j),j)$, where $y_1 =$ the column $[b_{11} \ b_{21} \dots \ b_{n1}]^t$. Since multiplication is distributive, this product is additive in each factor, i.e. $(a(s(1),1) + b(s(1),1)) \prod_{j>1} a(s(j),j) = a(s(1),1) \prod_{j>1} a(s(j),j) + b(s(1),1) \prod_{j>1} a(s(j),j)$. Since a sum of additive functions is also additive, we are done. **QED.**

The alternating property follows as well, by grouping terms of the sum.

Lemma: If A has two equal columns, then $\det(A) = 0$.

proof: If t is the involution interchanging say columns 1 and 2, then ts acts the same as s on all other columns but interchanges the value of s at columns 1 and 2, so $a(s(j),j) = a(st(j),j)$ for $j > 2$. But every entry of column 1 equals the corresponding entry of column 2, so $a(s(1),1) = a(s(1),2) = a(st(2),2)$, and $a(s(2),2) = a(st(1),2) = a(st(1),1)$. Thus the two products $\prod_j a(s(j),j)$ and $\prod_j a(st(j),j)$ are equal, but they occur in the sum defining $\det(A)$ with opposite signs since $\text{sgn}(st) = \text{sgn}(t)\text{sgn}(s) = -1\text{sgn}(s)$. Thus every term in the sum for $\det A$ is canceled by another term which is equal but of opposite sign. $\det A = 0$. **QED**

Hence $\partial(A)$ is indeed alternating and multilinear in the columns of A . Thus there does exist one and only one function satisfying the properties of a determinant.

Lemma: If $A^t = [a_{ji}]$ is the transpose of $A = [a_{ij}]$, then $\partial(A^t) = \partial(A)$.

proof: $\partial(A^t) =$ the sum over all s , of $\text{sgn}(s) \prod_j a(j,s(j))$, and if we reorder the factors in this product by the second subscript, this equals $\text{sgn}(s) \prod_j a(s^{-1}(j),j)$. Since s and s^{-1} have the same sign, this is also $\text{sgn}(s^{-1}) \prod_j a(s^{-1}(j),j)$. Summing over the inverse of all permutations is the same as summing over all permutations, so this equals the sum over all s , of $\text{sgn}(s) \prod_j a(s(j),j) = \partial(A)$. **QED.**

It is often useful to simplify a matrix by row reduction to introduce more zeroes before computing a determinant. We already know how scaling and interchanging rows affect the determinant, so we observe the third row operation does not change the determinant. Since $\det A = \det A^t$, we have all the same properties for rows as for columns.

Lemma: Adding a scalar multiple of a row to another does not change the determinant.

proof: Suppose we add c times the j th row x_j to the i th row x_i . Then by additivity, $\partial(x_1, \dots, x_i + cx_j, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = \partial(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) + \partial(x_1, \dots, cx_j, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n)$. By scaling and alternating, the last term is zero. **QED**

It is also useful to know how determinants behave on block matrices.

Lemma: If a matrix C has block form as below, then $\det C = \det A \cdot \det B$.

proof: Consider the block matrix C below, where both A and B are square matrices:

$\begin{vmatrix} A & * \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix} = C$. Then $\det(C) = \det A \cdot \det B$.

$\begin{vmatrix} A & * \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix}$

If B is not invertible then row reduction will introduce a row of zeroes at the bottom, so both B and the whole block matrix have $\det = 0$. If B is invertible, then row operations of the third kind just discussed above, will change the matrix $\begin{vmatrix} * \\ * \end{vmatrix}$ to the zero matrix, since

the rows of B are a basis for the space containing the rows of $| * |$. This does not change the determinant but changes C into a block matrix of the following form:

$$\begin{vmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix}, \text{ which is a product matrix,}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix}. \text{ Thus,}$$

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} A & * \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix} = \det \begin{vmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix} = \det \begin{vmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{vmatrix} \cdot \det \begin{vmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix} = \det A \cdot \det B, \text{ by LaGrange's formula.}$$

Cor: The determinant of a diagonal, or upper (or lower) diagonal matrix, equals the product of the diagonal entries.

Expansion along one column or row

The next result allows us to compute an $n \times n$ determinant as an alternating sum of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinants. Suppose we want to expand along the first column. $\det A = \det(A) = (\text{Sum over } s): \text{sgn}(s) \prod_j: a(s(j),j)$. Each term, i.e. each product $\prod_j: a(s(j),j)$ with fixed s , has one factor from the first column of A, namely $a(s(1),1)$.

Thus these can be grouped into n subsets according to the value of $s(1)$.

$$\text{I.e. } \det(A) = (\text{Sum, all } s): \text{sgn}(s) \prod_j a(s(j),j) =$$

$$(\text{Sum}, s(1)=1), \text{sgn}(s) \prod_j: a(s(j),j) + \dots + (\text{Sum}, s(1)=n), \text{sgn}(s) \prod_j: a(s(j),j).$$

Now every term in the first sum with $s(1)=1$, contains the factor $a(1,1)$, which can be factored out, and similarly for the other sums. Thus we have: $\det(A) =$

$$a(1,1)(\text{Sum}, s(1)=1): \text{sgn}(s) \prod_{j \neq 1} a(s(j),j) + \dots + a(n,1)(\text{Sum}, s(1)=n): \text{sgn}(s) \prod_{j \neq 1} a(s(j),j).$$

Here the first partial sum, $(\text{Sum}, s(1)=1): \text{sgn}(s) \prod_{j \neq 1} a(s(j),j)$ is just the determinant of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by omitting the first row and first column from A. But the second one, $(\text{Sum}, s(1)=2): \text{sgn}(s) \prod_{j \neq 1} a(s(j),j)$ is off by a sign, because in the matrix obtained by omitting the first column and second row of A, the $n-1$ columns are numbered $2, 3, 4, \dots, n$, while the $n-1$ rows are numbered $1, 3, \dots, n$. Thus the permutation s with $s(1) = 2$, inducing the "identity" of these index sets takes 2 to 1 , then 3 to 3 , 4 to 4 , ..., n to n , and of course 1 to 2 . This makes the sgn of this $s = -1$ instead of 1 . So we have introduced a minus sign. In case $s(1) = i$, then s induces the "identity" map from the columns $2, 3, \dots, n$, to the rows $1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n$ if $s(2)=1, s(3)=2, \dots, s(i) = i-1$, and then $s(i+1) = i+1, \dots, s(n)=n$. So s differs from the actual identity permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by $i-1$ transpositions. Thus each term $(\text{Sum}, s(1)=i): \text{sgn}(s) \prod_{j \neq 1} a(s(j),j)$, is equal to $(-1)^{(i+1)}$ times the determinant obtained from A by omitting the 1^{st} column and i^{th} row.

This implies the determinant of A is a dot product of the first column of A with the vector of (\pm) the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinants just described. Namely the element $a(i,1)$ of the i^{th} row in the first column is multiplied by $(-1)^{(i+1)}$ times the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinant of the matrix obtained by eliminating the first column of A and the i^{th} row.

If we denote by A_{ij} the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from A by deleting the i th row and j th column, then we have $\det A = a(1,1)\det A_{11} - a(2,1)\det A_{21} + \dots + (-1)^{(n+1)} \det A_{n1}$.

In the same way, the determinant can be computed as a dot product with any column of A . If we use the j th column, the permutation with $s(j)=i$ inducing the “identity” map from the columns $(1, 2, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n)$ to the rows $(1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, \dots, n)$ will differ from the identity on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by $i-j$ transpositions, so we can multiply by $(-1)^{(i+j)}$.

Thus for any fixed j : $\det A = (-1)^{(1+j)}a(1,j)\det A_{1j} + (-1)^{(2+j)} a(2,j)\det A_{2j} + \dots + (-1)^{(n+j)} a(n,j)\det A_{nj}$. Since A and its transpose have the same determinant, we can compute $\det A = \det A^t$, by expanding along columns of A^t , i.e. along rows of A . Another approach to this formula is to check it yields a normalized alternating multilinear function, hence $= \det A$.

It follows that if we form a matrix $\text{adj}(A)$ whose rows are those $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinants, with appropriate signs, then the diagonal entries of the matrix product $\text{adj}(A).A$, will all equal $\det(A)$. The off diagonal entries moreover are the determinants of matrices having two equal columns, hence zero. We summarize this as follows.

Classical adjoint of a matrix (not the one from spectral theory)

Let A_{ij} be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by eliminating from A its i th row and j th column. The number $(-1)^{(i+j)}\det(A_{ij})$ is called the cofactor of the entry a_{ij} of A . Denote by $\text{adj}(A)$ the transpose of the matrix of cofactors of A , i.e. the (ij) entry of $\text{adj}(A)$ is the cofactor $(-1)^{(j+i)}\det(A_{ji})$ of the element a_{ji} of A . Then we have:

Proposition (LaGrange-Cramer’s rule): $A.\text{adj}(A) = \text{adj}(A).A = \partial(A)\text{Id}$.

proof: We defined $\text{adj}(A)$ so that $\text{adj}(A).A = \partial(A).\text{Id}$. Then $\partial(A).\text{Id} = \partial(A^t).\text{Id} = (\partial(A^t).\text{Id})^t = (\text{adj}(A^t).A^t)^t = A.(\text{adj}(A^t))^t = A.\text{adj}(A)$. **QED**

Cor: If $\partial(A) \neq 0$, then $(1/\partial(A))\text{adj}(A).A = \text{Id}$, in particular A is invertible.

Cor: (Cayley Hamilton) If $\text{ch}(t) = \det(t-A)$ is the characteristic polynomial of A , then $\text{ch}(A) = [0]$, i.e. A satisfies its own characteristic polynomial.

proof: By Cramer’s rule, $\text{ch}(t).\text{Id} = \det(t-A).\text{Id} = \text{adj}(t-A).(t-A)$. Thus in the ring of polynomials with matrix coefficients, the polynomial $\text{ch}(t).\text{Id}$ is divisible by $(t-A)$ from the right. Hence the value of $\text{ch}(t)$ when A is substituted for t from the right is zero, by the non commutative factor theorem, with essentially the same proof as the commutative one. Indeed the coefficients of $\text{ch}(t).\text{Id}$ are scalars from k hence commute with A , so in any sense, we have $\text{ch}(A) = [0]$. **QED.**

Remark: As an example of the non commutative remainder/factor theorem, let $f(t) = Ct^n$. Then right evaluation of f at A equals CA^n , hence $f(t) - \text{fright}(A) = C(t^n - A^n)$ is right divisible by $(t-A)$, since $(t^n - A^n) = (t^{n-1} + t^{n-2}A + \dots + tA^{n-2} + A^{n-1})(t-A)$. Hence $f(t) = \text{fright}(A) + g(t)(t-A)$, so by uniqueness of divisibility by the monic $(t-A)$, the remainder after right division by $(t-A)$ equals the right value $\text{fright}(A)$. Applying this argument to every term of a general f gives the result.