

I have two possible solutions. This one will yield a conservation of momentum with all values of **n** (**n** is the inverse of the ratio –e.g. 0...0.3 and is a function of time;  $\omega_{fw1i}$  is the initial velocity of fw1):

$$\text{Eq. 1:} \quad \omega_{fw1}(t) := \frac{I_{fw1} \omega_{fw1i}}{I_{fw1} + n(t) \cdot I_{fw2}} \quad \text{Eq. 2:} \quad \omega_{fw2}(t) := n(t) \cdot \omega_{fw1}(t)$$

The problem with this “solution” is that the torque of flywheel 1 is not equal to the torque of flywheel 2 times **n**, as it must be. Momentum is always equal to the initial momentum (conserved) and the velocity of fw1 is equal to the velocity of fw2/**n**. Kinetic energy is not conserved.

The second solution yields a result that meets the mechanical constraints: torque on fw 1 = torque fw2\***n** and velocity of fw1 = velocity of fw2/**n**

$$\text{Eq. 3:} \quad \omega_{fw1}(t) := \frac{I_{fw1} \omega_{fw1i}}{I_{fw1} + n(t)^2 \cdot I_{fw2}} \quad \text{Eq. 2:} \quad \omega_{fw2}(t) := n(t) \cdot \omega_{fw1}(t)$$

Note that the only difference is that **n** is now squared. With this “solution” momentum is not conserved nor is kinetic energy, yet it yields the only possible mechanical solution.

The torque on the gear reducer housing will be  $\mathbf{t}_{fw2} - \mathbf{t}_{fw1}$  in the same direction as  $\mathbf{t}_{fw1}$ . Even though this housing is grounded to earth, torque equals delta momentum (L) over time, so  $d\omega_{grd} \cdot I_{grd} = \tau_{grd}$ .

I suppose you could say that either  $I_{grd}$  is huge (e.g. earth), therefore  $\omega_{grd}$  is immeasurably small, or, if the gear reducer (grd) is grounded to something like a space satellite, then  $I_{grd}$  will be in the realm of significant and  $\omega_{grd}$  will likewise be significant. In any case, it can't be ignored and does explain the “lost” momentum.

This appears to work; However kinetic energy still seems not to be conserved, which of course it must be.

