

### Theorem

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $m-1 \leq x < m$  (Note  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ )

The Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$  state that if  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}, \bar{x} > 0 \Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $n\bar{x} > \bar{y}$

Using this property with  $\bar{x} = 1, \bar{y} = x$  we can find  $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $m_1 \cdot 1 > x \Leftrightarrow x < m_1$ .

Using this property with  $\bar{x} = 1, \bar{y} = -x$  we can find  $m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $m_2 \cdot 1 > -x \Leftrightarrow x > -m_2$

Hence we have found  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  s.t.  $-m_2 < x < m_1$ . Note  $-m_2, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$

let's define a set  $T : \{t \in \mathbb{Z} : -m_2 \leq t \leq m_1\}$

Then  $T \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $T$  is not empty ( $-m_2, m_1 \in T$ ) and  $T$  is finite

$m_1$  is the max of  $T$ ,  $-m_2$  is the min of  $T$

We can now define  $T$  as  $\{m_1, m_1-1, m_1-2, m_1-3, \dots, -m_2+1, -m_2\}$

Now we just need to show that  $\exists u \in T$ , s.t.  $m-1 \leq x < m$

We argue by contradiction

Suppose  $\nexists u \in T$  s.t.  $m-1 \leq x < m$

We know that  $x < m_1$ , so we must have  $x < m_1 \wedge x < m_1-1$

We also can't have  $x < m_1-1 \wedge x < m_1-2$  and so on until we get

$$\boxed{x < -m_2+1 \wedge x < -m_2}$$

But this is a contradiction since we can have  $x > -m_2$

Hence we conclude that  $\exists u \in T$  s.t.  $m-1 \leq x < m$

Since  $u \in T \Rightarrow u \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have shown that

$$\exists u \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } m-1 \leq x < m$$

□