

Lorentz Covariance in the Coulomb Gauge

In QED, the Coulomb gauge is specified by $\partial_i A^i = 0, A^0 = 0$ for A^μ the Maxwell potential. Under a Lorentz transformation Λ with infinitesimal parameter ϵ ,

$$U(\epsilon)A^\mu(x)U^{-1}(\epsilon) = A^\mu(x') - \epsilon^{\mu\nu}A_\nu(x') + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu}\lambda(x', \epsilon)$$

for some operator gauge function $\lambda(x', \epsilon)$.

To see this, we first note that for $A(x)$ a solution of $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu}$, there exists $A'(x')$ a solution to the Lorentz transformed system. However, A^μ does not quite transform as a 4-vector under a Lorentz transformation and we must also consider a supplementary gauge term which admits the $\partial^\mu\lambda(x', \epsilon)$.

$A^\mu(x)$ is an operator in some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with a unitary representation U of the Lorentz group. Thus,

$$A^\mu(x) \xrightarrow{\Lambda} A'^\mu(x') = U(\epsilon)A^\mu(x)U^{-1}(\epsilon).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} A \rightarrow A' &= (1 - \epsilon)A + [\text{gauge terms}], \\ A^\mu \rightarrow A'^\mu(x') &= A^\mu(x) - \epsilon^\mu{}_\nu A^\nu(x) + \partial^\mu\lambda(x, \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$x \rightarrow x' = (1 + \epsilon)x. \quad (B\acute{e}D 11.51)$$

Note that by Lorentz invariance of the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$, $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\nu\mu}$ is an antisymmetric tensor. This is because since we demand invariance, $g = g' \Rightarrow \epsilon g = 0$ necessarily. This determines the antisymmetric form of ϵ from the symmetry of g .

Any field ϕ transforms under a Lorentz transformation Λ as

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x') = \rho(\Lambda)\phi(\Lambda^{-1}x).$$

We are therefore interested in the inverse transformation for x . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^k = 1 + \epsilon + \epsilon^2 + \dots \\ &= 1 + \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \approx 1 + \epsilon. \\ \therefore x &= (1 + \epsilon)x'. \end{aligned}$$

In component form, $x^\kappa = x'^\kappa + \epsilon^\kappa_\sigma x'^\sigma$.

As $A^0 = 0$, its Lorentz transformation must also be zero. (Impossible to boost into a non-zero reference frame, etc.) Taking this transformation,

$$A^0(x') = A^0(x) - \epsilon^0_\nu A^\nu(x) + \partial^0 \lambda(x, \epsilon) \stackrel{!}{=} 0.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^0 \lambda &= \epsilon^0_\nu A^\nu \\ &= \epsilon^0_i A^i. \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the Lorentz transformation of $\partial_i A^i$,

$$\partial'_i A'^i(x') = \partial'_i [A^i(x) - \epsilon^i_\nu A^\nu(x) + \partial^i \lambda]. \quad (\heartsuit)$$

Recall that $\frac{d}{dx'^i} = \frac{d}{dx^\kappa} \frac{dx^\kappa}{dx'^i}$, so we must find the Jacobian terms.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^i} x^\kappa &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^i} [x'^\kappa + \epsilon^\kappa_\sigma x'^\sigma] \\ &= \frac{\partial x'^\kappa}{\partial x'^i} + \epsilon^\kappa_\sigma \frac{\partial x'^\sigma}{\partial x'^i} \\ &= \delta_i^\kappa + \epsilon^\kappa_\sigma \delta_i^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We can now write \heartsuit as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial'_i A'^i(x') &= \partial_\kappa [A^i(x) - \epsilon^i_\nu A^\nu(x) + \partial^i \lambda] (\delta_i^\kappa + \epsilon^\kappa_\sigma \delta_i^\sigma) \\ &= \delta_i^\kappa \partial_\kappa A^i(x) - \delta_i^\kappa \epsilon^i_\nu \partial_\kappa A^\nu(x) + \delta_i^\kappa \partial_\kappa \partial^i \lambda \\ &\quad + \epsilon^\kappa_\sigma \delta_i^\sigma \partial_\kappa A^i(x) - \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) + \epsilon^\kappa_\sigma \delta_i^\sigma \partial_\kappa \partial^i \lambda \\ &= \partial_i A^i(x) - \epsilon^i_\nu \partial_i A^\nu(x) + \partial_i \partial^i \lambda + \epsilon^\kappa_i \partial_\kappa A^i(x) + \epsilon^\kappa_i \partial_\kappa \partial^i \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing some awful notation, we'll define the difference between the Lorentz transformed $\partial_i A^i$ and the original by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\partial A} &\equiv \partial'_i A^i(x') - \partial_i A^i(x) \\
&= -\epsilon^i{}_\nu \partial_i A^\nu(x) + \underbrace{\partial_i \partial^i \lambda}_{-\Delta\lambda} + \epsilon^\kappa{}_i \partial_\kappa A^i(x) + \underbrace{\epsilon^\kappa{}_i \partial_\kappa \partial^i \lambda}_{\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)} \\
&= -\epsilon^i{}_\nu \partial_i A^\nu(x) + \epsilon^\kappa{}_i \partial_\kappa A^i(x) - \Delta\lambda \\
&= \epsilon^\kappa{}_i \partial_\kappa A^i(x) - \epsilon^i{}_\nu \partial_i A^\nu(x) - \Delta\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

by the definition of the Laplacian $\Delta \equiv -\partial_i \partial^i$. Decomposing κ, ν into space and time,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \epsilon^0{}_i \partial_0 A^i(x) + \epsilon^j{}_i \partial_j A^i(x) - \underbrace{\epsilon^i{}_0 \partial_i A^0(x)}_0 - \epsilon^i{}_j \partial_i A^j(x) - \Delta\lambda \\
&= \epsilon^0{}_i \partial_0 A^i(x) + \underbrace{\epsilon^j{}_i \partial_j A^i(x) - \epsilon^i{}_j \partial_i A^j(x)}_{\mathcal{D}} - \Delta\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Examining the \mathcal{D} -term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} &= \epsilon^{ji} \partial_j A_i - \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i A_j \\
&= \epsilon^{ji} (\partial_j A_i + \partial_i A_j) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by symmetry. As $\Delta_{\partial A} = 0$, this implies

$$\epsilon^0{}_i \partial_0 A^i = \Delta\lambda. \quad (\text{leaf})$$

Choose $\Delta\lambda(x, \epsilon) = \phi(x, \epsilon)$. Then $\phi = \epsilon^0{}_i \partial_0 A^i(x)$ and

$$\lambda = \int d^3 \bar{x} G(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}})$$

where G is the Green function such that $\Delta G(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x})$. Several Fourier transformations later (cf. Nigel Buttimore's CED), we arrive at the rather Coulombic result

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi r}, \quad r = |\mathbf{x}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}^2}$$

and G is independent of t . By ,

$$\lambda(t, \mathbf{x}) = - \int d^3 \bar{x} \frac{\epsilon^0{}_i \partial_0 A^i(t, \bar{\mathbf{x}})}{4\pi |\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}|}.$$

↓ Corrections to fionnf@maths.tcd.ie.