

Let  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is rational.} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$ . Prove  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$  does not exist.

You start with the definition of limit:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = \ell$  means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $|x - a| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - \ell| < \epsilon$ .

It's helpful to look at these definitions in as many different ways as possible so here is another form of the definition of limit:  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = \ell$  means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$  then  $f(x) \in (\ell - \epsilon, \ell + \epsilon)$ . All that we did here is to rephrase  $|x - a| < \delta$  as  $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ . This says that a function  $f(x)$  has a certain limit  $\ell$  as  $x$  approaches some value  $a$  if given any (small) region ( $\epsilon$ ) around  $\ell$  you can find some (small) region ( $\delta$ ) about  $x$  so that all the numbers in that small region around  $x$  are mapped by the function into the small region about  $\ell$ .

Looking at your problem now, you want to find  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$ . Say that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = \ell$  where  $\ell$  is some real number. Then you want to find a  $\delta$  such that whenever  $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta) = (-\delta, \delta)$  we have  $f(x) \in (\ell - \epsilon, \ell + \epsilon)$ . And this has to be true for every  $\epsilon$ . (In this sense you don't pick your  $\epsilon$ ). Now, for any  $\delta$  you note that there will be both irrational numbers and rational numbers in the interval  $(-\delta, \delta)$  so  $f(x)$  will equal both 1 and 0. So what  $\delta$  is there that all the numbers in that interval  $(-\delta, \delta)$  will all be mapped by this function to a very small interval around some real number  $\ell$ ?